



Hidden variable approach how to deal with 10

Oleg V. Makhnin and
Mathematics Department, New
o1egm@nm

Objectives:

- * understand spatio-temporal properties of precipitation
- * unify "mean" calculations and probability calculations for quantities that frequently equal 0

Idea:

Gauge precipitation R is related to a *hidden* variable W so that [1]:

$$\begin{cases} W = R^{1/\beta}, & R > 0 \\ W < 0, & R = 0 \end{cases}$$

Thus, W is power-transformed and assumed to be *negative* when the observed precipitation is 0. Practically, $W < 0$ is treated as *missing* and is imputed in our model fit.

The transformation and imputation of W are done to insure W has *normal* distribution (we have used $\beta = 2$). Normality enables us to use traditional techniques like kriging.

W will be called *precipitation potential*.

* The model distinguishes between "season normal" μ_d mean potential, and year-specific potential θ_t .

Estimation: Bayesian approach

Computation using *Gibbs sampler* requires finding *full conditional posteriors* (FCP)

Let (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) be the vector of all unknown quantities and parameters.

Markov Chain Monte-Carlo algorithm through Gibbs sampling:

1. Draw a sample from FCP of one X_j given all other parameters and the data:

$$p(X_j | X_1, \dots, X_{j-1}, X_{j+1}, \dots, X_n, \text{data})$$

2. Cycle repeatedly for $j = 1, \dots, n$

The algorithm obtains (correlated) samples from parameters of interest.

For example, FCP for W_{it} is just $R_{it}^{1/\beta}$ when $R_{it} > 0$, and is truncated Normal(mean = Z_{it} , st.dev. = τ) when $R_{it} = 0$.

Use for prediction: to predict probability of precipitation at site i , time t , generate samples of W_{it} and count the